arXiv:0811.2547v3 [hep-th] 15 Mar 2009

THE YANG-MILLS STRING AS THE A-MODEL ON THE TWISTOR SPACE OF THE COMPLEX TWO-DIMENSIONAL PROJECTIVE SPACE WITH FLUXES AND WILSON LOOPS: THE BETA FUNCTION

M. Bochicchio

Physical Jefferson Laboratory, Harvard University, Cambridge MA 02138
USA
and
INFN Sezione di Roma [1]
Dipartimento di Fisica, Universita' di Roma 'La Sapienza'
Piazzale Aldo Moro 2 , 00185 Roma
e-mail: marco.bochicchio@roma1.infn.it

ABSTRACT

We argue that the string theory dual to a certain sector of the four-dimensional Yang-Mills theory at large-N is the A-model wrapping N Lagrangian D-branes on the twistor space of the complex two-dimensional projective space, with a certain flux and Wilson loop background, by finding that the target-space beta function of this A-model coincides with the large-N beta function of the Yang-Mills theory. The beta function is obtained by the quantization of the A-model Chern-Simons effective action in target space, provided a certain B-field and Wilson loop background is coupled to the A-model world-sheet sigma-model. The B-field provides the embedding of the four-dimensional

---

[1] permanent address

space-time into the two-dimensional base of the Lagrangian-twistorial Chern-Simons by the non-commutative large-N Eguchi-Kawai reduction. In fact, in presence of the Wilson loop and B-field background, the large-N loop equation of the twistorial Chern-Simons theory implies the non-commutative vortex equation of (anti-)self-dual type of the pure Yang-Mills theory restricted to a Lagrangian submanifold in space-time, that in turn have been shown to occur, on the Yang-Mills side, in the localization of the loop equation of the full Yang-Mills theory in the (anti-)self-dual variables for a certain diagonal embedding of quasi BPS Wilson loops. Remarkably, the Wilsonean large-N beta function of full Yang-Mills and the large-N A-model target-space beta function coincide.

1 Introduction

This paper arose combining the conjecture [1], about the link between a twistorial topological A-model and (supersymmetric) Yang-Mills (YM) theory, with the computation of the beta function of the pure YM theory via the homological localization of the loop equation [2, 3].

The main result of this paper is that a certain version of the A-model defined on the twistor space of CP^2, $TW(CP^2)$,[2] is described in target space by an effective action that has the same (Wilsonean) beta function of pure YM theory at large N.

The basic idea is that there is a version of the A-model on twistor-space whose target-space equation of motion coincides with the vortex equation of self-dual (SD) type obtained by the localization of the loop equation of large-N YM for certain quasi BPS Wilson loops [2, 3].

We recall here in the introduction the framework in which the conjecture in [1] and the present work originated. In [8] it was discovered that the scattering amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SUSY$ YM at weak coupling can be computed in terms of the vertex operators of the topological B-model on a supersymmetric version, $CP^{3|4}$, of the twistor space CP^3 of the four-sphere S^4 [4, 5], that is the conformal compactification of the space-time R^4. The supersymmetric version, $CP^{3|4}$, of the twistor space CP^3, is crucial in order to satisfy the Calabi-Yau condition, necessary for the quantum consistency of the B-model [8]. As a consequence the B-model construction is strongly rigid and essentially applies uniquely to the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SUSY$ YM theory.

In the B-model description of [8] scattering amplitudes arise by the contributions of certain $D1$-branes of the B-model. In [1] it was conjectured that there is a S-dual description of the B-model theory, given by a twistorial A-model on the same Calabi-Yau super-manifold, in which the scattering amplitudes may arise by means of the world-sheet instantons of the A-model instead of the $D1$-branes of the B-model. The occurrence of the A-model was advocated, among other reasons, because of its less rigidity for deformations with respect to the B-model [1]. Indeed the A-model does not need, for its quantum consistency, to be defined on a Calabi-Yau manifold. In fact it was later conjectured in [9] that a version of the A-model on the non-supersymmetric twistor space CP^3, obtained coupling the A-model to certain charged operators, would be equivalent to the full sector of non-supersymmetric YM theory in the large-N limit.

---

[2] Twistor space can be defined for every orientable four-manifold, essentially as the bundle of all the almost complex structures over the given manifold [4]. This more general definition extends the original construction of twistor space of hyper-Kahler manifolds. See also [5, 6] for a convenient mathematical description and [7] for physical applications.

As far as the scattering amplitudes of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SUSY$ YM theory are concerned, the conjecture in [1] encounters the difficulty that the cohomology ring of the A-model on twistor space, contrary to the one of the B-model, does not seem to support continuous fields, in particular the twistor image of plane waves. Therefore the A-model does not seem to have room enough to define scattering amplitudes. This difficulty was pointed out already in [1] and in [8] and in fact it occurs also in the non-supersymmetric version proposed in [9].

In this paper we suggest a partial solution of this difficulty, in the case of the pure YM theory, by means of the Eguchi-Kawai (EK) large-N reduction [10, 11, 12, 13]. Indeed the EK reduction allows us to reabsorb some continuous translational degrees of freedom in the internal color space at $N = \infty$ (for a quick review see [14]). Thus we argue that the cohomology of the A-model at $N = \infty$ may support the translations and therefore some continuous field. Technically the EK reduction is realized in the A-model by a background B-field, that implies a non-commutative gauge theory in target space [15], for which the large-N EK reduction applies. However, in this paper we do not attempt to define any A-model S-matrix for the large-N YM theory, but, as a first step, we limit ourselves to the computation of the beta function of the A-model effective action.

We outline now some physical motivations for our construction. The open strings of the A-model end on a Lagrangian submanifold [16]. Thus the Lagrangian submanifold supports a gauge theory in target space. If N D-branes wrap around the Lagrangian submanifold, the gauge theory has gauge group $U(N)$. This is taken into account, in the world-sheet language, by Chan-Paton factors is some representation of $U(N)$. We choose the fundamental representation. As it is well known [16] the A-model effective action is a $U(N)$ Chern-Simons (CS) theory defined on a Lagrangian submanifold, modified by the insertion of Wilson loops, that arise from the world-sheet instantons corrections of the A-model. A Lagrangian submanifold in twistor space is three-dimensional, so that the twistor projection to the space-time base is two-dimensional. Thus the effective CS gauge theory contains only a two-dimensional information about the physical space-time. The only way to recover the four-dimensional information is by means of a large-N partial Eguchi-Kawai reduction from four to two dimensions. This reduction is obtained in the large-N limit by making two of the four coordinates non-commutative in target space. Indeed it is known that the limit of infinite non-commutativity coincides with the large-N limit [14]. On the world-sheet this is equivalent to coupling the sigma-model to a certain B-field [15]. Notice that the target space of the sigma-model, the twistor space, has real dimension six, so that the twistor projection has real dimension four. Since the base of the Lagrangian submanifold has dimension two, only a partial EK reduction is necessary. Hence, on the sigma-model world-sheet, a B-field is needed, whose components are non-vanishing only along some real two-cycle of the four-dimensional base of the twistor fibration. In our construction the two-dimensional base of the Lagrangian submanifold, contrary to the two-cycle over which the B-field lives, has to be embedded, as most as possible, "diagonally" in the four-dimensional space-time. This has to do with the special sector of observables to which our A-model is supposed to be related on the YM side in the large-N limit. These observables are quasi BPS Wilson loops introduced in the YM theory in [2, 3]. These quasi BPS Wilson loops are the analogs of supersymmetric Wilson loops in theories with extended supersymmetry and are described in sect.2. One of their features is that they are supported on a topological sphere "diagonally" embedded in four-dimensional space-time. In fact this "diagonally" embedded sphere turns out to be the double cover of the base of the Lagrangian submanifold in twistor space. For these quasi BPS Wilson loops of the pure YM theory some localization properties hold [2] that are analogue of those of their supersymmetric cousins [17]. In particular they are localized on certain vortices equations of the non-commutative EK reduction.

It is precisely at this stage that the conjecture in [1] plays a role in this paper. The world-sheet instantons of the A-model advocated in [1] are related, via their contribution to the CS effective action, to the vortices that arise in the localization of the loop equation for the quasi BPS Wilson loops in [2]. In turn these vortices are essential to get the YM beta function as explained in sect.2.

The localization in [2] on the YM side arises by homological methods, as opposed to the usual cohomological localization that occurs in twisted supersymmetric gauge theories [17]. The need of homological methods, to get localization on the YM side, is due to the lack of supersymmetry of the pure YM theory and to the employment of a new form [2] of the loop equation [18], that has of course a non-local nature. In this new form of the loop equation a key role is played by the conformal anomaly, somehow in analogy [3] with the role that the chiral anomaly plays in the loop equation for the chiral ring of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $SUSY$ gauge theories [20, 21]. Yet, on the A-model side, we get of course a cohomological theory. Therefore, loosely speaking, we may consider the cohomological localization [16] of our proposed twistorial topological A-model as the counterpart, obtained by means of gauge fields/string duality [16], of the homological localization that occurs in the quasi BPS sector of the large-N pure YM theory.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In sect.2 we describe the YM side of our correspondence and we recall the localization of quasi-BPS Wilson loops and the computation of the large-N YM beta function, to make this paper as self-contained as possible. In sect.3 we describe the geometry of our A-model from a world-sheet perspective. In particular we define explicitly $TW(CP^2)$ and we recall that admits an almost complex structure with vanishing first Chern class. We describe also our version, on the Lagrangian submanifold of twistor space, of the Penrose-Ward twistor construction (see [22]) of solutions of the SD YM equations, that is essential to our approach. In sect.4 we recall the target-space effective action of the A-model and we compute, in our twistorial case, its beta function, finding agreement with the YM computation. In sect.5 we recall our conclusions.

2 Quasi BPS Wilson loops and beta function on the Yang-Mills side

In this section we summarize the localization argument in [2] to which, for reasons of space, we refer for more details and references. In [2] it was found that in the large-N limit there exists a sector of Wilson loops of the pure YM theory, referred to as the quasi BPS sector, that possesses special non-renormalization properties, i.e. no perimeter divergence and no cusp anomaly for backtracking cusps, somehow analogue to the sector of BPS (i.e. supersymmetric) Wilson loops of theories with extended supersymmetry. The quasi BPS Wilson loops of the pure YM theory are defined on the basis of the analogy with the following supersymmetric Wilson loops of theories with extended supersymmetry:

$$Tr\Psi(BPS) = TrP\exp i\int_C (A_a dx_a(s) + i\phi_b dy_b(s)) \tag{1}$$

satisfying the local BPS constraint:

$$\sum_a \dot{x}_a^2(s) - \sum_b \dot{y}_b^2(s) = 0 \tag{2}$$

Since scalar fields are absent in the pure YM theory, it has been proposed in [2, 3] that the correct analog of Eq.(1) is :

$$Tr\Psi(quasi-BPS) = TrP\exp i\int_C (A_z dz + A_{\bar{z}} d\bar{z} + D_u du + D_{\bar{u}} d\bar{u}) \tag{3}$$

($D_u = \partial_u + iA_u$ is the covariant derivative along the u direction) with the quasi BPS condition:

$$\begin{aligned} dz(s) &= du(s) \\ d\bar{z}(s) &= d\bar{u}(s) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Because of the quasi BPS condition this Wilson loop can also be interpreted as the holonomy of the non-hermitean connection:

$$A + D = (A_z + D_u)dz + (A_{\bar{z}} + D_{\bar{u}})d\bar{z} \tag{5}$$

defined on a Riemann surface diagonally embedded in four-dimensional non-commutative space-time, $R^2 \times R^2_\theta$, in the limit of infinite non-commutativity, that is indeed equivalent to the commutative large-N limit [14]. In [2, 3] $A+D$ was denoted by B. Here, to agree with a universal convention, we reserve the symbol B for the field of the EK reduction that in the thermodynamic limit coincides with the background B-field of the A-model sigma-model. Notice that the definition in Eq.(5) makes sense also in the case that the two R^2 factors have not the same non-commutativity, as it is in our case. However, in this case, the "diagonal" embedding needs to be interpreted as follows. There is a partial Eguchi-Kawai reduction [14] from four to two dimensions. In such reduction the u dependence of the fields is in fact reabsorbed into the internal color space, while the fields remain z dependent. Technically this can be obtained representing the non-commutative coordinates and derivatives as creation and annihilation operators acting on the internal color (Hilbert) space [14, 13].

The curvature of the two-dimensional non-hermitean connection $A+D$ is a linear combination of only the ASD part of the four-dimensional curvature. All the considerations in [2] apply in fact also to Wilson loops defined by the conjugate diagonal embedding:

$$\begin{aligned} dz(s) &= d\bar{u}(s) \\ d\bar{z}(s) &= du(s) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

that corresponds to quasi BPS Wilson loops whose connection is given by:

$$A+\bar{D} = (A_z + D_{\bar{u}})dz + (A_{\bar{z}} + D_u)d\bar{z} \tag{7}$$

and whose curvature is of SD type. The conjugate diagonal embedding is important in this paper because it is Lagrangian in the four-dimensional space-time and it can be lifted to a Lagrangian embedding in twistor space. This is not the case, instead, for the diagonal embedding, since it is not Lagrangian in space-time.

It was argued in [2] that the quasi BPS Wilson loops have no perimeter divergence and no cuspidal anomaly (for backtracking cusps) in the limit $B \rightarrow 0$ that coincides with the large-N limit [14]. In addition it easy to see that they are in fact trivial to the lowest order in perturbation theory in this limit, because of the cancellation between the propagator of A_z and of A_u, due to the factor of i that occurs in front of A_u in the covariant derivative. This cancellation is the pure-YM analogue of the cancellation that occurs for BPS Wilson loops in $SUSY$ gauge theories, between the gauge-fields and the scalar propagators, due to the factor of i in front of the scalar field in Eq.(1) and to the BPS condition in Eq.(2). It is not known if the quasi BPS Wilson loops of large-N YM are trivial to all orders in perturbation theory or if they are non-trivial non-perturbatively.

The homological localization of quasi BPS Wilson loops involves the use of the zig-zag symmetry of the Wilson loops and a new form of the loop equation, that is obtained by changing variables from the connection to the ASD part of the curvature.

The zig-zag symmetry is exploited drawing backtracking strings that start at a marked point of the loop and end into cusps at infinity. Adding a backtracking string does not change the holonomy class of a Wilson loop, in the same way adding a co-boundary does not change the cohomology class of a closed differential form. This, together with the fact that in the large-N limit quasi BPS Wilson loops have no cusp anomaly for backtracking cusps and no perimeter divergence, is the staring point of the homological localization pursued in [2].

Essentially the zig-zag symmetry is used to show that the right hand side of the loop equation vanishes at a backtracking cusp. Then the left hand side reduces to the critical equation for an effective action. To complete the argument every marked point of the loop must be mapped into a cusp at infinity. This is achieved by a local conformal transformation on the surface over which the Wilson loop lives after introducing a lattice regularization. This two-dimensional conformal change lifts to a four-dimensional conformal transformation, because of the diagonal (or conjugate diagonal) embedding of the quasi BPS Wilson loop in space-time. Thus the effective action changes by the conformal anomaly, that is a local counter-term and thus does not change the renormalization group flow, but only the position of the subtraction point [2].

To pursue the analogy with the usual cohomological localization, the invariance of the renormalization group flow for a transformation that adds to the loop a backtracking cusp is the analog of the property that the action is a closed form. Indeed it can be also described as the property that the action is invariant under the transformation that generates a co-boundary, since the action is annihilated by a $BRST$ differential in the cohomological case. Its homological counterpart is the invariance of the flow of the renormalized effective action for the addition of a boundary, in the sense that adding to a quasi BPS Wilson loop a backtracking string can be interpreted as a local change of the conformal structure of the surface over which the Wilson loop lives.

The main technical point, to get the new loop equation, is a change of variables in the YM functional integral from the connection to the ASD part of the curvature. We start with the large-N YM theory defined on $R^2 \times R^2_\theta$ in the limit of infinite non-commutativity θ, that is known to reproduce the ordinary commutative large-N limit:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \exp(-\frac{N}{2g^2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int Tr_f(F^2_{\alpha\beta}) d^4x) DA \\ &= \int \exp(-\frac{N 8\pi^2}{g^2} Q - \frac{N}{4g^2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int Tr_f(F^{-2}_{\alpha\beta}) d^4x) DA \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

In the second line the classical action is conveniently rewritten as the sum of a topological and a purely ASD term. The topological term Q is the second Chern class, given by:

$$Q = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int Tr_f(F_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}) d^4x \tag{9}$$

while the ASD curvature $F^-_{\alpha\beta}$ is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} F^-_{\alpha\beta} &= F_{\alpha\beta} - \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} \\ \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} F_{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Introducing the projectors, P^- and P^+, the curvature can be decomposed into its ASD and SD components:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\alpha\beta} &= P^- F_{\alpha\beta} + P^+ F_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} F^-_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} F^+_{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We change variables from the connection to the ASD curvature, introducing in the functional integral the appropriate resolution of identity:

$$1 = \int \delta(F^{-}_{\alpha\beta} - \mu^{-}_{\alpha\beta}) D\mu^{-}_{\alpha\beta} \tag{12}$$

The partition function thus becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} Z = \int \exp(-\frac{N8\pi^2}{g^2}Q - \frac{N}{4g^2}\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}\int Tr(\mu^{-2}_{\alpha\beta})d^4x) \\ \times\delta(F^{-}_{\alpha\beta} - \mu^{-}_{\alpha\beta})D\mu^{-}_{\alpha\beta}DA \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

We can write the partition function in the new form:

$$\begin{aligned} Z = \int \exp(-\frac{N8\pi^2}{g^2}Q - \frac{N}{4g^2}\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}\int Tr(\mu^{-2}_{\alpha\beta})d^4x) \\ \times Det'^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-\Delta_A\delta_{\alpha\beta} + D_\alpha D_\beta + iad_{\mu^{-}_{\alpha\beta}})D\mu^{-}_{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where the integral over the gauge connection of the delta-function has been now explicitly performed:

$$\begin{aligned} \int DA_\alpha \delta(F^{-}_{\alpha\beta} - \mu^{-}_{\alpha\beta}) &= |Det'^{-1}(P^{-}d_A\wedge)| \\ &= Det'^{-\frac{1}{2}}((P^{-}d_A\wedge)^*(P^{-}d_A\wedge)) \\ &= Det'^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-\Delta_A\delta_{\alpha\beta} + D_\alpha D_\beta + iad_{F^{-}_{\alpha\beta}}) \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

and, by an abuse of notation, the connection A in the determinants denotes the solution of the equation $F^{-}_{\alpha\beta} - \mu^{-}_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. The $'$ superscript requires projecting away from the determinants the zero modes due to gauge invariance, since gauge fixing is not yet implied, though it may be understood if we like to.

We refer to the determinant in the preceding equation as to the localization determinant, because it arises localizing the gauge connection on a given level, $\mu^{-}_{\alpha\beta}$, of the ASD curvature. We can interpret the ASD relations:

$$F^{-}_{\alpha\beta} - \mu^{-}_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \tag{16}$$

as an equation for the curvature of the non-Hermitean connection $A + D = (A_z + D_u)dz + (A_{\bar{z}} + D_{\bar{u}})d\bar{z}$ and a harmonic condition for the Higgs field $\Psi = -iD = -i(D_u dz + D_{\bar{u}} d\bar{z}) = \psi + \bar{\psi}$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{A+D} - \mu &= 0 \\ \bar{F}_{A+D} - \bar{\mu} &= 0 \\ d_A^* \Psi - \nu &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

that can also be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{A+D} - \mu &= 0 \\ \bar{\partial}_A \psi - n &= 0 \\ \partial_A \bar{\psi} - \bar{n} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where the fields μ, ν, n are suitable linear combinations of the ASD components $\mu^-_{\alpha\beta}$. The resolution of identity in the functional integral then reads:

$$1 = \int \delta(F_{A+D} - \mu)\delta(\bar{\partial}_A \psi - n)\delta(\partial_A \bar{\psi} - \bar{n}) D\mu D n D\bar{n} \tag{19}$$

where the measure $D\mu$ is interpreted in the sense of holomorphic matrix models employed in the study of the chiral ring of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $SUSY$ gauge theories [20, 21].

The holomorphic gauge is defined as the change of variables for the connection $A + D$, in which the curvature of $A + D$ is given by the field μ', obtained from the equation:

$$F_{A+D} - \mu = 0 \tag{20}$$

by means of a complexified gauge transformation $G(x; A + D)$ that puts $A + D = b + \bar{b}$ in the gauge $\bar{b} = 0$:

$$\bar{\partial} b_z = -i\frac{\mu'}{2} \tag{21}$$

where $\mu' = G\mu G^{-1}$. Employing Eq.(19) as a resolution of identity in the functional integral, the partition function becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} Z = \int \delta(F_{A+D} - \mu)\delta(\bar{\partial}_A \psi - n)\delta(\partial_A \bar{\psi} - \bar{n}) \exp(-\frac{N}{2g^2} S_{YM}) \\ \times \frac{D\mu}{D\mu'} D b D\bar{b} D\mu' D n D\bar{n} \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

The integral over $(b, \bar{b})$ is the same as the integral over the four A_α. The resulting functional determinants, together with the Jacobian of the change of variables to the holomorphic gauge, are absorbed into the definition of Γ.

Γ plays here the role of a classical action, since it must be still integrated over the fields $\mu', n, \bar{n}$. Γ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma = \frac{N8\pi^2}{g^2}Q + \frac{N}{g^2}\int Tr_f(F_{01}^{-2} + F_{02}^{-2} + F_{03}^{-2})d^4x \\ + logDet'^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-\Delta_A \delta_{\alpha\beta} + D_\alpha D_\beta + iad_{\mu^-_{\alpha\beta}}) - log\frac{D\mu}{D\mu'}\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^0 &= F_{01}^- \\ n + \bar{n} &= F_{02}^- \\ i(n - \bar{n}) &= F_{03}^-\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Although Γ is the classical action in the ASD variables it contains already quantum corrections because of the Jacobian of the change of variables. It turns out that its divergent part coincides with the divergent part of the Wilsonean localized quantum effective action, after the inclusion of zero modes. Until now the theory is still four-dimensional. Taking functional derivatives with respect to the ASD field we get, for a planar quasi BPS loop, the loop equation:

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \int D\mu' Tr \frac{\delta}{\delta\mu'(w,0)}(\exp(-\Gamma)\Psi(x,x;b)) \\ &= \int D\mu' \exp(-\Gamma)(Tr(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\mu'(w,0)}\Psi(x,x;b)) \\ &\quad - \int_{C(x,x)} dy_z \frac{1}{2}\delta^{(2)}(0)\bar{\partial}^{-1}(w-y)Tr(\lambda^a\Psi(x,y;b)\lambda^a\Psi(y,x;b))) \\ &= \int D\mu' \exp(-\Gamma)(Tr(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\mu'(w,0)}\Psi(x,x;b)) \\ &\quad - \int_{C(x,x)} dy_z \frac{1}{2}\delta^{(2)}(0)\bar{\partial}^{-1}(w-y)(Tr(\Psi(x,y;b))Tr(\Psi(y,x;b)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{N}Tr(\Psi(x,y;b)\Psi(y,x;b))))\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where in our notation we have omitted the integrations $DnD\bar{n}$, since they are irrelevant in the loop equation, because the curvature of $A+D$ depends only on μ. In the large-N limit it reduces to:

$$\tau(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\mu'(w.0)}\Psi(x,x;b)) = \int_{C(x,x)} dy_z \frac{1}{2}\delta^{(2)}(0)\bar{\partial}^{-1}(w-y)\tau(\Psi(x,y;b))\tau(\Psi(y,x;b))) \tag{26}$$

Now it is natural to perform a partial EK reduction from four to two dimensions. Let us describe what in fact the partial EK reduction means in this context. We already observed that we can absorb the translations into a gauge transformation in the four-dimensional non-commutative theory along the two non-commutative directions [13]. As a result the classical action looks two-dimensional, in the sense that the space-time dependence of the fields is two-dimensional, despite the fact that the theory is truly four-dimensional. The four-dimensional information is hidden in the central extension $B = \frac{2\pi}{\theta}$ that shows up in the curvature due to non-commutativity [13]. Now we use the fact that in the non-commutative theory the integral over the non-commutative directions can be represented as a (color) trace [13]:

$$\int d^2u = \frac{2\pi}{B} Tr \tag{27}$$

Hence the non-commutative classical action of the reduced theory gets a volume factor of $V_2 = 2\pi\theta = \frac{2\pi}{B}$ because of the gauge choice. The equation of motion of the EK reduced theory is therefore multiplied by this volume factor. We can divide both sides of the loop equation by this volume factor in the reduced theory in such a way that the equation of motion is normalized as in the four-dimensional theory. Then the inverse volume will appear in the right hand side instead of the factor $\delta^{(2)}(0)$. We can compensate this fact by rescaling the classical action by a factor of N_2^{-1} [14, 23], with $N_2 = V_2\delta^{(2)}(0)$, in such a way that the factor of $\frac{V_2}{N_2}$ in the reduced classical action produces the factor of $\delta^{(2)}(0) = \frac{N_2}{V_2}$ once carried to the right hand side of the loop equation. Of course in all this discussion we are implicitly assuming that the trace of the reduced theory includes now the non-commutative degrees of freedom.

Thus the classical action of the EK reduced theory in the ASD variables is given by:

$$\Gamma = \frac{N 8\pi^2}{N_2 g^2} Q + \frac{N}{g^2}\frac{2\pi}{N_2 B}\int Tr_f(F_{01}^{-2} + F_{02}^{-2} + F_{03}^{-2}) d^2x$$
$$+ log Det'^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-\Delta_A \delta_{\alpha\beta} + D_\alpha D_\beta + iad_{\mu^-_{\alpha\beta}}) - log\frac{D\mu}{D\mu'} \qquad (28)$$

where the trace in the functional determinants has to be interpreted coherently with the partial EK reduction.

The new loop equation is then:

$$\tau(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\mu'(w)}\Psi(x,x;b)) =$$
$$\int_{C(x,x)} dy_z \frac{1}{2}\bar{\partial}^{-1}(w-y)\tau(\Psi(x,y;b))\tau(\Psi(y,x;b))) \qquad (29)$$

This new loop equation, that is a version of the usual Makeenko-Migdal loop equation [18, 19], holds for the YM theory after the EK reduction from four to two dimensions in the holomorphic gauge in which the connection $A+D$ is gauge equivalent to b and its curvature is gauge equivalent to μ'. After this reduction it is convenient to perform a conformal compactification in such a way that the theory now is defined over a two-sphere S^2, in order to get a nice moduli problem for the gauge fields. Before the EK reduction, in the four-dimensional Euclidean theory, this would amount to a compactification from R^4 to $S^2 \times S^2$. In the four-dimensional theory in ultra-hyperbolic $(2,2)$ signature the conformal compactification would amount instead to compactify to $\frac{S^2 \times S^2}{Z_2}$ where the Z_2 acts by the antipodal map on both S^2. After the EK reduction the theory is thus defined on S^2/Z_2 in Minkowskian $(2,2)$ signature. The conformal compactification adds to the effective action at most a finite conformal anomaly, that can be ignored.

It is clear that the contour integration in the right hand side of the loop equation includes the pole of the Cauchy kernel. We need therefore a gauge invariant regularization. The natural choice consists in analytically continuing the loop equation from Euclidean to Minkowskian space-time (with ultra-hyperbolic signature, if we must keep the gauge group to be $U(N)$ in the ASD equations). Thus $z \longrightarrow i(x_+ + i\epsilon)$. This regularization has the great virtue of being manifestly gauge invariant. In addition this regularization is not loop dependent.

The result of the $i\epsilon$ regularization of the Cauchy kernel is the sum of two distributions, the principal part plus a one-dimensional delta-function:

$$\frac{1}{2}\bar{\partial}^{-1}(w_x - y_x + i\epsilon) = (2\pi)^{-1}(P(w_x - y_x)^{-1} - i\pi\delta(w_x - y_x)) \tag{30}$$

The loop equation thus regularized looks like:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\mu'(w)}\Psi(x,x;b)) = \\ \int_{C(x,x)} dy_x (2\pi)^{-1}(P(w_x - y_x)^{-1} - i\pi\delta(w_x - y_x)) \\ \times\tau(\Psi(x,y;b))\tau(\Psi(y,x;b))) \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

The right hand side of the loop equation contains now two contributions. A delta-like one-dimensional contact term, that is supported on closed loops and a principal part distribution that is supported on open loops. Since by gauge invariance it is consistent to assume that the expectation value of open loops vanishes, the principal part does not contribute and the loop equation reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\mu'(w)}\Psi(x,x;b)) = \\ \int_{C(x,x)} dy_x \frac{i}{2}\delta(w_x - y_x)\tau(\Psi(x,y;b))\tau(\Psi(y,x;b))) \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Taking $w = x$ and using the transformation properties of the holonomy of b and of $\mu(x)'$, the preceding equation can be rewritten in terms of the connection, $A + D$, and the curvature, μ:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\mu(x)}\Psi(x,x;A+D)) = \\ \int_{C(x,x)} dy_x \frac{i}{2}\delta(x_x - y_x)\tau(\Psi(x,y;A+D))\tau(\Psi(y,x;A+D))) \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where we have used the condition that the trace of open loops vanishes to substitute the b holonomy with the $A + D$ holonomy.

We need a lattice version of the continuum loop equation to implement our localization argument. Thus we write the loop equation in the ASD variables on a lattice in the partially EK reduced theory. If we introduce a lattice, the delta-functional constraint in Eq.(16-19) becomes, after the partial EK reduction:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[D_z, D_{\bar{z}}] - [D_u, D_{\bar{u}}] - i \textstyle\sum_p \mu_p^0 \delta^{(2)}(x - x_p) + iB1 = 0 \\
&[D_{\bar{z}}, D_u] - i \textstyle\sum_p n_p \delta^{(2)}(x - x_p) = 0 \\
&[D_z, D_{\bar{u}}] - i \textstyle\sum_p \bar{n}_p \delta^{(2)}(x - x_p) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

This system of equations defines a central extension of parabolic Higgs bundles on a sphere, in which the role of the Higgs field Ψ is played by $-iD$. Parabolic Higgs bundles have been introduced also in [24], in their study of the ramified Langlands conjecture. Since the Higgs field,$-iD$, acts on the infinite dimensional Hilbert space of a non-commutative R^2, the curvature equation involves a central term, $B = \frac{2\pi}{\theta}$, that we have displayed explicitly. In the case $n_p = \bar{n}_p = 0$, that is the most relevant for us, we may interpret the preceding equations as vortex equations. In the partially EK reduced theory, the vortices live at the lattice points, where the ASD curvature is singular. This means that in the original four-dimensional theory they form two-dimensional vortex sheets. Codimension-two singularities of this kind occur also in [24], but without the non-splitting central extension in the curvature. The loop equation on our lattice now reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau\Big(\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \mu(x_p)} \Psi(x_p, x_p; A + D)\Big) = \\
&\int_{C(x_p,x_p)} dy_z \frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial}^{-1}(x_p - y) \tau(\Psi(x_p, y; A + D)) \tau(\Psi(y, x_p; A + D)))
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

and correspondingly for the analytic continuation to Minkowskian space-time:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau\Big(\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \mu'(w_{x_q})} \Psi(x_q, x_q; A + D)\Big) = \\
&\int_{C(x_q,x_q)} dy_x (2\pi)^{-1} (P(w_{x_q} - y_x)^{-1} - i\pi\delta(w_{x_q} - y_x)) \\
&\times \tau(\Psi(x_q, y; A + D)) \tau(\Psi(y, x_q; A + D)))
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Notice that a smooth marked point gives a non-trivial contribution in the continuum or on the lattice in the right hand side. However around a backtracking cusp the contributions of the two sides of the asymptotes to the cusp cancel each other for the contact term:

$$\int_{C(x_q,x_q)} dy_x(s)\delta(w_{x_q}(s_{cusp}) - y_x(s)) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\dot{w}_{x_q}(s^+_{cusp})}{|\dot{w}_{x_q}(s^+_{cusp})|} + \frac{\dot{w}_{x_q}(s^-_{cusp})}{|\dot{w}_{x_q}(s^-_{cusp})|}) \quad (37)$$

because of the opposite sign of $\dot{w}_{x_q}(s^+_{cusp})$ and $\dot{w}_{x_q}(s^-_{cusp})$ on the two sides of the backtracking cusp. For the principal part the same argument applies because of the opposite orientations of the asymptotes and because both the cusp asymptotes are approached either from below or from above:

$$|\int_{C(x_q,x_q)} dy_x(s)P(w_{x_q}(s_{cusp}) - y_x(s))^{-1}| =$$

$$\int ds\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\dot{y}_x(s^+_{cusp})}{|w_{x_q}(s_{cusp}) - y_x(s)|} + \frac{\dot{y}_x(s^-_{cusp})}{|w_{x_q}(s_{cusp}) - y_x(s)|}) \quad (38)$$

Thus if every marked point can be transformed into a backtracking cusp we can complete our argument about localization, since then the loop equation reduces to the equation of motion for the effective action in the left hand side. But this is precisely the effect of our lattice, since marked points contribute to the loop equation in the lattice theory only if they coincide with the lattice points. Thus we can simply draw backtracking strings from the loop to the lattice points and then map conformally the lattice points to infinity in order to transform all the marked points into cusps, for which the right hand side of the loop equation vanishes.

Doing so we change Γ by the conformal anomaly, into Γ_q, the quantum effective action. Thus we may say that open strings solve the YM loop equation for the quasi BPS Wilson loops, in the sense that they localize the loop equation on a saddle-point for an effective action.

As a consequence, the large-N loop equation of the full four-dimensional Yang-Mills theory in the anti-self-dual variables (ASD) for the diagonally embedded quasi BPS Wilson loops, whose connection is $A + D$, localizes on the moduli space of a central extension of parabolic Higgs bundles. In addition it was found in [2] that the critical point in the loop equation actually corresponds to non-commutative vortices equations, reduced to two dimensions a la Eguchi-Kawai:

$$\begin{aligned}
[D_z, D_{\bar{z}}] - [D_u, D_{\bar{u}}] &= i \textstyle\sum_p g\lambda_p g^{-1}\delta^{(2)}(w - w_p) - iB1 \\
[D_{\bar{z}}, D_u] &= 0 \\
[D_z, D_{\bar{u}}] &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

It was found in [2] that the vortices equations imply the correct beta function of the Yang-Mills theory in the large-N limit [3]. The beta function is extracted from the YM effective action Γ_q in the ASD variables computed on the vortices. More precisely, the following result was found for the Wilsonean and canonical beta function. There exists a renormalization scheme in which the large-N canonical beta function of the pure YM theory is given by:

$$\frac{\partial g_c}{\partial log\Lambda} = \frac{-\beta_0 g_c^3 + \frac{\beta_J}{4} g_c^3 \frac{\partial logZ}{\partial log\Lambda}}{1 - \beta_J g_c^2} \tag{40}$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_0 &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{11}{3} \\
\beta_J &= \frac{4}{(4\pi)^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where g_c is the 't Hooft canonical coupling constant and $\frac{\partial logZ}{\partial log\Lambda}$ is computed to all orders in the 't Hooft Wilsonean coupling constant, g_W, by:

$$\frac{\partial logZ}{\partial log\Lambda} = \frac{\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{10}{3} g_W^2}{1 + c g_W^2} \tag{42}$$

with c a scheme dependent arbitrary constant. At the same time, the beta function for the 't Hooft Wilsonean coupling is exactly one loop:

$$\frac{\partial g_W}{\partial log\Lambda} = -\beta_0 g_W^3 \tag{43}$$

---

[3] Since the integral over $\mu = \mu^0 + n - \bar{n}$ has to be interpreted in a holomorphic sense, a choice of the holomorphic path is needed. The hermitean path corresponding to $n = \bar{n} = 0$ leads to the correct beta function.

Once the result for $\frac{\partial log Z}{\partial log \Lambda}$ to the lowest order in the canonical coupling

$$\frac{\partial log Z}{\partial log \Lambda} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{10}{3}g_c^2 + ... \tag{44}$$

is inserted in Eq.(40), it implies the correct value of the first and second perturbative coefficients of the beta function:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g_c}{\partial log \Lambda} &= -\beta_0 g_c^3 + (\frac{\beta_J}{4}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{10}{3} - \beta_0\beta_J)g_c^5 + ... \\ &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{11}{3}g_c^3 + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^4}(\frac{10}{3} - \frac{44}{3})g_c^5 + ... \\ &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{11}{3}g_c^3 - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^4}\frac{34}{3}g_c^5 + ... \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

which are known to be universal, i.e. scheme independent.

In addition it was argued in [2] that there is a scheme in which the canonical coupling coincides with a certain definition of the physical effective charge in the inter-quark potential. In this scheme the beta function is given by:

$$\frac{\partial g_{phys}}{\partial log r} = \beta_0 \frac{g_{phys}^3}{1 - \beta_J g_{phys}^2}\frac{log(r\Lambda_W)}{log(r\Lambda_W) - \frac{15}{121}} \tag{46}$$

with Λ_W the RG invariant scale in the Wilsonean scheme. The preceding formula compares favorably with numerical lattice computations for $SU(3)$.

For completeness we outline here briefly the computation of the Wilsonean beta function since it is related to the computation made in sect.4 of this paper. The effective action of vortices is given by:

$$\exp(-\Gamma_q) = \int d(zero - modes)\exp(-\Gamma + Conformal Anomaly) \tag{47}$$

with Γ, in the partially reduced EK theory, given by Eq.(28). The divergent part of Γ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\frac{N}{2g_W^2} - (2-\frac{1}{3})\frac{N}{(4\pi)^2}log(\frac{\Lambda}{\tilde{\Lambda}}))\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}\int d^4x Tr_f(2(\frac{1}{2}F_{\alpha\beta}^-)^2) \\ &= (\frac{N}{2g_W^2} - \frac{5}{3}\frac{N}{(4\pi)^2}log(\frac{\Lambda}{\tilde{\Lambda}}))\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}\int d^4x Tr_f(2(\frac{1}{2}F_{\alpha\beta}^-)^2 \\ &= \frac{N}{2g_W^2}Z^{-1}\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}\int d^4x Tr_f(2(\frac{1}{2}F_{\alpha\beta}^-)^2) \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where Z^{-1} is given by:

$$Z^{-1} = 1 - \frac{10}{3}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} g_W^2 log(\frac{\Lambda}{\tilde{\Lambda}}) \tag{49}$$

and we have added to g the underscript $_W$ to stress that our computation here refers to the Wilsonean coupling constant. We must add to this divergence the one due to vortices zero modes, that are the moduli of the adjoint orbit. The zero modes divergence is due to the powers of the Pauli-Villars regulator that have to be inserted in the integral over the zero modes.

For a Z_N vortex of charge k we get $N-k$ eigenvalues of the curvature λ_p equal to $\frac{2\pi k}{N}$ and k eigenvalues equal to $\frac{2\pi(k-N)}{N}$. The trace of the eigenvalues of the curvature in the fundamental representation is thus:

$$(N-k)(\frac{2\pi k}{N})^2 + k(\frac{2\pi(k-N)}{N})^2 = (2\pi)^2 \frac{k(N-k)}{N} \tag{50}$$

Each Z_N vortex carries a number of zero modes equal to the real dimension of the adjoint orbit $g\lambda_p g^{-1}$. However it must taken into account the fact that the loop equation localizes only after analytic continuation to $(2,2)$ signature, since this analytic continuation is needed to regularize the loop equation in a gauge invariant way. This imposes some global constraint on the vortex solution. In $(2,2)$ signature the conformal compactification of space-time is $S^2 \times S^2/Z_2$ where the Z_2 acts by the antipodal involution on both S^2. Its double cover is $S^2 \times S^2$. Thus a vortex solution on Euclidean $S^2 \times S^2$ extends, after analytic continuation to Minkowski, to a vortex solution on $S^2 \times S^2/Z_2$ only if vortices on $S^2 \times S^2$ come in pairs identified by the antipodal involution. On the double cover the number of vortices is doubled, so that the action is doubled, but the number of zero modes is not, because the vortex adjoint orbits must be pairwise identified. Thus the number of real zero modes per vortex is one half, i.e. it is the number of complex zero modes. Thus it is equal to the complex dimension of the orbit. We do not include zero modes associated to translations of the vortices since their contribution is subleading in $\frac{1}{N}$. The complex dimension of an adjoint orbit of $U(N)$ is given by:

$$dim = \frac{1}{2}(N^2 - \sum_i m_i^2) \tag{51}$$

where m_i are the multiplicities of the eigenvalues. For vortices this reduces to:

$$dim = \frac{1}{2}(N^2 - k^2 - (N-k)^2) = k(N-k) \tag{52}$$

In the YM theory, in the thermodynamic limit, due to the contributions of vortices zero modes, the effective action reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-\Gamma_q) = \prod_p \exp(-\frac{2\pi}{N_2 Ba^2}\frac{8\pi^2}{2g_W^2}Z^{-1}k_p(N-k_p) - c.c.) \\ \exp(\frac{2\pi}{N_2 Ba^2}\frac{k_p(N-k_p)}{2}log(\frac{1}{Ba^2}) + c.c.) \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

The renormalization of the Wilsonean coupling constant in the YM theory now follows immediately from the local part of the vortices effective action. It contains two terms. The one proportional to $\frac{5}{3}$ comes from the functional determinants; the one proportional to 2 from the vortices zero modes:

$$\frac{8\pi^2 k(N-k)}{2g_W^2(\tilde{a})} = 8\pi^2 k(N-k)(\frac{1}{2g_W^2(a)} - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}(2+\frac{5}{3})log(\frac{\tilde{a}}{a})) \tag{54}$$

and thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2g_W^2(\tilde{a})} &= \frac{1}{2g_W^2(a)} - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}(2+\frac{5}{3})log(\frac{\tilde{a}}{a}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2g_W^2(a)} - \beta_0 log(\frac{\tilde{a}}{a}) \\ \beta_0 &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{11}{3} \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

We can reabsorb the coupling constant, g_W,into a redefinition of the subtraction point:

$$\exp(-\Gamma_q) = \prod_p \exp(k_p(N-k_p)8\pi^2\beta_0 \log(\frac{1}{e^{\frac{1}{\beta_0 g_W^2}}Ba^2})) \tag{56}$$

There is another redefinition of the subtraction point when the conformal anomaly, due to the homological localization, is added. This leads to the effective action:

$$\exp(-\Gamma_q) = \prod_p \exp(k_p(N-k_p)8\pi^2\beta_0 \log(\frac{1}{e^{\frac{1}{\beta_0 g_W^2}}N_D Ba^2})) \tag{57}$$

It is easy to see that the critical point of the effective action corresponds to Z_2 vortices. Thus in the large-N limit the quasi BPS Wilson loops of the pure YM theory are localized on the Z_2 vortices of ASD type of the partial EK reduction.

3 The A-model world-sheet geometry

Following the analogy with the construction of the twistorial B-model for the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SUSY$ YM theory at weak coupling in [8] and the conjectured S-duality to the A-model in [1] and [9], we would like to identify an A-model on twistor space dual to the large-N pure YM theory, more precisely to the restricted sector of quasi BPS Wilson loops described in the previous section.

We use as a guide the localization result in [2] for quasi BPS Wilson loops. We look for an A-model whose classical equations of motion reproduce the vortex equation of the large-N YM theory, on which the quasi BPS Wilson loops are localized. In the next section we show, using the loop equation of the effective CS theory, that the equivalence extends to the quantum level and to the Wilsonean beta function in the large-N limit.

Since we cannot show in general that all the YM observables are localized on vortices, we can reasonably hope at most that the A-model which we are looking for describes only the quasi BPS sector of the YM theory.

The natural candidates are the A-models defined on twistor space of a compactification of space-time. On the A-model side the compactification is needed to have a well defined moduli problem for the A-model world-sheet instantons. The thermodynamic limit is then recovered in the decompactification limit. Though the compactification appears at this stage as a technical device, it becomes apparent later that it has in fact deeper reasons.

Twistor space can be defined for any orientable Riemannian four-manifold, as the bundle over the given manifold of all its almost complex structures compatible with the given Riemannian metric. This is a generalization of the original construction for a hyper-Kahler manifold and for details we refer to the mathematical and physical literature [4, 5, 6, 7]. Natural compactifications of four-dimensional Euclidean space-time are the four-sphere S^4, the complex projective surface CP^2, and the four-torus, T^4. Thus we have as candidates the corresponding twistor spaces. These candidates are a priori on an equal footing, but in fact we argue that only twistor space of CP^2 meets all the following physical requirements.

It is a deep result [25] that the A-model does not need to be defined on a Calabi-Yau for its quantum consistency but only on an almost complex manifold [4]. Indeed the Calabi-Yau condition for a complex threefold ensures the vanishing of the chiral anomaly in the B model [16]. For an A-model on a threefold there is not such a chiral anomaly, but rather a ghost number anomaly that affects only the selection rule for the observables [16, 27]. In particular, in order to couple the A-model to gravity on the world-sheet, i.e. to define an A-model string theory, we must require that the selection rule for n-point observables is genus independent, for the observables to have corrections to all orders in the string coupling constant as for a critical string theory [16, 27]. This implies that the first Chern class of the complexified tangent bundle vanishes. Yet this is considerably weaker than the Calabi-Yau condition since the almost complex structure that is involved in the definition of the tangent bundle need not to be actually complex, i.e. integrable. Thus our first requirement is that the A-model twistor space has vanishing first Chern class.

The second requirement is that the A-model must support a B-field on twistor space that projects to a B-field on the space-time base. This is necessary to identify the B-field with the one that implies the non-commutative partial large-N EK reduction in physical space-time.

Finally, the third requirement is that the twistor space admits a Lagrangian submanifold over which the equation of motion of the CS effective theory implies the vortex equation associated to the localization of quasi BPS Wilson loops of the YM theory.

We examine now as to whether these constraints can be satisfied for our candidate twistor spaces. We start describing the twistor space of our compactified space-times [4, 5, 6, 7]. $TW(S^4) = CP^3, TW(CP^2) = SU(3)/U(1)\times U(1)$, $TW(T^4) = T^4 \times CP^1$. Since none of these spaces is Ricci-flat, the corresponding topological models are not Calabi-Yau's. In particular there is no way to define the YM string as a B-model extending the construction in [8] to a non-supersymmetric version. However if an A-model on super-twistor space S-dual to the the B-model exists, as conjectured in [1], then its non-supersymmetric version should be naturally related to non-supersymmetric YM theory [9]. In fact in this paper we would like to make this conjecture more precise identifying a version of the A-model that reproduces the YM beta function in the large-N limit. Since to define the A-model we need an almost complex structure, we must discuss almost complex or complex structures on twistor space. In this case we have the embarrassment of richness since twistor spaces admit several different (almost) complex structures. The (almost) complex structures that can be defined on the same twistor space may have different Chern classes and thus may define nonequivalent A-models because of the different selection rules for the observables. (Almost) complex structures on twistor spaces have been classified recently [6]. However it has been known for a long time that twistor space of self-dual manifolds always admits the two following (almost) complex structures [6]. The standard integrable complex structure, that is covariantly constant with respect to the Levi-Civita connection: its Chern class is non-vanishing in all the three cases of our study. The non-integrable almost complex structure obtained reversing the orientation of the complexified tangent bundle on the fibre of the twistor fibration [6, 7]: its Chern class vanishes for the twistor space of self-dual Einstein manifolds [5, 7], thus in all our three cases. Because the almost complex structure is not integrable, a H-flux appears as the torsion of the almost complex manifold [25]. This torsion is associated to a non-trivial B-field. In addition we have the freedom to add a closed B be field to the A-model action without changing the flux and the topological nature of the A-model [25]. While any component of the B-field along the fibre of the twistor fibration is allowed, the component along the base must be the B-field needed for the EK reduction. In particular it must be vanishing small in the large-N limit and in the infinite tension limit [15] of the topological theory [5]. We must describe in more detail the action of the

---

[4] Topological A-models defined on almost complex manifolds are considered also in [26]. Bi-hermitean models are studied in [28, 29]. We would like to thank Alessandro Tomasiello for an enlightening discussion about this point.

---

[5] The cohomological localization of the topological theory implies that the infinite ten-

A-model in the case of an almost complex manifold and the almost complex structure on twistor space. It is one of the deep results in [25] that for an A-model on an almost complex manifold the topological action contains a linear combination of the metric g and of the two-form J associated to the almost complex structure. Thus J plays the role of a B-field. More explicitly for the twistor space of a self-dual Einstein manifold the metric g_6 and the almost complex structure J are given in terms of the holomorphic vierbein as follows (we use the notation of [7]):

$$\begin{aligned} g_6 &= e^i \bar{e}^i \\ J &= i e^i \wedge \bar{e}^i \end{aligned}$$

The flux $H = dJ$ can be computed using the following formulae [5, 7]:

$$d \begin{pmatrix} e^1 \\ e^2 \\ e^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & \\ & Tr(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} \wedge \begin{pmatrix} e^1 \\ e^2 \\ e^3 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{R} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{e}^2 \wedge \bar{e}^3 \\ \bar{e}^3 \wedge \bar{e}^1 \\ \sigma \bar{e}^1 \wedge \bar{e}^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{58}$$

where α is an anti-hermitean matrix of one-forms that acts on (e^1, e^2) and R an overall length scale. σ parametrizes the curvature of the base manifold relative to the fibre. These formulae show that the topological action contains a B-field, J, whose components are non-vanishing along all the three orthogonal complex directions in twistor space. This is not what we want. We need one complex line of the base without B-field and one with vanishing small B-field, to achieve the partial EK reduction. For $\sigma = 1$ twistor space is a Kahler manifold [4, 5, 7]. This corresponds to the case of S^4 and CP^2 with the integrable complex structure. For $\sigma = 2$ twistor space of S^4 and CP^2 is a nearly Kahler manifold with the non-integrable almost complex structure [5, 7] [6]. In the case of S^4 and CP^2 with $\sigma = 2$ we obtain the required EK field by adding to the topological action the following B-field with vanishing torsion:

$$-ie^1 \wedge \bar{e}^1 - i(1-\epsilon)e^1 \wedge \bar{e}^1 + i(1 - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon)e^3 \wedge \bar{e}^3$$

---

sion limit is in fact exact.

[6] Indeed the same topological twistor space admits two different metrics and (almost) complex structures.

that cancels the B-field along the complex direction 1 and creates a small B-field along the complex direction 2, at the price of creating a large compensating B-field along the complex direction 3. This is not possible for T^4, because it has zero σ, since T^4 is a flat manifold.and thus the torsion of the would be compensating B-field on the fibre vanishes in this case. Thus the only two suitable spaces that may lead to a stringy A-model and large-N non-commutative EK reduction are the four-sphere and the projective surface. The problem with the four-sphere is that it is not a complex manifold. Thus on the four-sphere there is no notion of the conjugate diagonal embedding $z = \bar{u}$, that is needed to define our Lagrangian submanifold in a way compatible with the embedding in space-time of quasi BPS Wilson loops [7]. Only twistor space of the projective surface remains. On the projective surface the conjugate embedding defines a Lagrangian submanifold that is isomorphic topologically to RP^2, by a slight modification of the standard embedding of RP^2 into CP^2. It lifts to a Lagrangian submanifold in twistor space that locally is $RP^2 \times RP^1$ where RP^1 can be taken to be a great circle in RP^2. This can be explained as follows. There is a realization of $TW(CP^2)$ as the subset of $CP^2 \times \tilde{C}P^2$ for which $\sum_i z_i \tilde{z}_i = 0$.in projective coordinates (see [7] and references therein). This means that $TW(CP^2)$ can be thought as a fibration of a complex projective surface by its orthogonal complex line, that in turn is labeled by another complex line that belongs to the surface. Thus $TW(CP^2)$ is a flag manifold that is a fibration of a complex surface by a complex line that belongs to the surface [7]. When restricting to the Lagrangian submanifold the previous statements continue to hold in their real version.

Now we must show that on this Lagrangian submanifold the CS theory at classical level leads to the vortex equation. The target-space action of the A-model in the open string sector is the effective action:

$$S = \frac{1}{g_s} \int Tr(AF - \frac{1}{3}A^3) + \sum_i \eta_i e^{-a(\gamma_i)} Tr(P \exp i \int_{\gamma_i} A) \tag{59}$$

defined on a Lagrangian submanifold of $TW(CP^2)$. It is not restrictive to assume that $\eta_i = 1$ [16]. $e^{-a(\gamma_i)}$ is the weight, (not necessarily real in presence of a B-field), by which an instanton of (complex) area a, whose boundary is γ_i, is weighted in the world-sheet expansion of the A-model. The extra term with respect to the usual CS action is due to world-sheet instantons corrections and to the insertion of Wilson-loop operators on the world-sheet. Notice that the effective action is obtained re-summing the world-sheet genus expansion in a non-trivial background of Wilson loops. Thus for its existence we must assume that the ghost number anomaly selection rule is satisfied in a genus independent way. This in particular requires that the first Chern class of the almost complex structure vanishes as we anticipated. Since the connection that can be coupled to the A-model is flat, the conjugacy class of the Wilson loop holonomy depends only on the homology class of γ_i.

---

[7] Since twistor space of the four-sphere is CP^3 there is a CP^2 inside CP^3 on which to define the conjugate diagonal Lagrangian embedding. However the complex structure of this CP^2 does not project to a complex structure on S^4 [7].

We must show that a vortex equation arises as a solution of this CS theory on the Lagrangian submanifold. Motivated by the embedding of the space-time (CP^2) with coordinates $(w, \bar{w}, u, \bar{u})$ into the twistorial fibration with coordinates (λ, u_1, u_2):

$$\begin{aligned} w - \lambda \bar{u} &= u_1 \\ u + \lambda \bar{w} &= u_2 \end{aligned} \qquad (60)$$

we make the following ansatz for the CS connection on the twistorial fibration in terms of the gauge fields $(A_w, A_{\bar{w}}, A_u, A_{\bar{u}})$ on the four-dimensional space-time CP^2. This ansatz is very well known as the Penrose-Ward construction and in fact it is at the heart of the link between self-dual YM equations and flat equations along the tangent direction to twistor surfaces [22]. Our main point is that we need a certain version of it, restricted to the Lagrangian submanifold, in such a way that the CS equations gets deformed into the vortices equations. Our ansatz for the covariant derivatives of the CS connection along the tangent vector fields of the double cover of the Lagrangian fibration is:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{u_1} &= D_{\bar{w}} - \lambda D_u \\ D_{u_2} &= D_w + \tfrac{1}{\lambda} D_{\bar{u}} \end{aligned} \qquad (61)$$

where $D_u = \partial_u + i A_u$. We employ the double cover because we want to use complex coordinates. Physically this ansatz corresponds to imposing that the CS gauge fields that live in a neighborhood of the tangent directions of the Lagrangian submanifold contain the YM gauge fields in a way that respects the geometry of the twistor fibration. In particular on our Lagrangian submanifold both λ and $-\frac{1}{\lambda}$ occur, because of the antipodal identification on the fibre RP^1. From the point of view of the underlying string theory defined by the A-model this occurs because the gauge fields are associated to the open strings that live indeed on the Lagrangian submanifold. However, we must check that our ansatz can be satisfied in fact by the equation of motion of the string theory, that are the CS equations of motion. The curvature equations without the condensate are now:

$$[D_{u_1}, D_{u_2}] = \frac{1}{\lambda}[D_{\bar{w}}, D_{\bar{u}}] - ([D_w, D_{\bar{w}}] + [D_u, D_{\bar{u}}]) \\ +\lambda[D_w, D_u] = 0 \tag{62}$$

Hence they are satisfied provided the self-dual curvature of the underlying YM connection vanishes. In this case the CS theory would be defined on the moduli space of SD connections. We now require that the $(u, \bar{u})$ coordinates become non-commutative. This corresponds to turning on a B-field in the A-model sigma-model. This B-field implies a non-vanishing flux in general and it was already implicit in our choice of an almost complex structure. The physical reason for which we require this B-field is that we must convey in the CS functional integral, that contains only a two-dimensional Lagrangian submanifold of space-time, the physical information about the four-dimensional nature of the space-time. This is not possible at finite N, but it is possible at $N = \infty$, by the EK reduction. This is realized by making space-time non-commutative in the $(u, \bar{u})$ directions in the limit of infinite non-commutativity and by reabsorbing the non-commutative degrees of freedom in the infinite dimensional (at $N = \infty$) Hilbert color space of the CS theory. Because of the limit of infinite non-commutativity the B-field is vanishing small in the large-N limit.

As a result Eq.(62) gets deformed to:

$$\frac{1}{g_s}[D_{u_1}, D_{u_2}] + i\frac{1}{g_s}B1 = 0 \tag{63}$$

since now some partial derivatives are non-commutative. Finally we include the condensate of Wilson loops. We suppose that the Wilson loop extends only along λ and it is based over the point w_p of the double cover of RP^2. The double covering is needed to allow for the existence of complex coordinates.

The curvature equation now reads:

$$\frac{1}{g_s}[D_{u_1}, D_{u_2}]^a = -i\frac{1}{g_s}B1^a + i\delta^{(2)}(w - w_p)e^{-a(\gamma_p)}Tr(PT^a \exp i\int_{\gamma_p} A_\lambda d\lambda) \quad (64)$$

Thus we see that the effect of instantons is to introduce a vortex singularity in the (non-commutative) SD equations. For a certain choice of the background Wilson loop and of the string coupling these become identical to the Z_2 non-commutative vortex equation of SD type of the YM theory:

$$\begin{aligned}
[D_w, D_{\bar{w}}] + [D_u, D_{\bar{u}}] &= ig\lambda_p g^{-1}\delta^{(2)}(w - w_p) + \tfrac{i}{g_s}B1 \\
[D_w, D_u] &= 0 \\
[D_{\bar{w}}, D_{\bar{u}}] &= 0
\end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

repeated with an infinite degeneracy, N_1, along the fibre. This occurs for a certain condition on the string coupling (see next section) and for the natural choice:

$$(\exp i\int_{\gamma_p} A_\lambda d\lambda)^2 = 1 \quad (66)$$

in such a way that A_λ is flat on RP^2. We explain now why this choice is natural. Turning on a non-trivial Wilson loop background on the fibre implies a compatibility condition involving the CS flatness condition on the fibre and on the base (see Eq.(70)). We will not examine in detail this compatibility condition, but we observe that flatness conditions of this type have been studied in the mathematical literature under the name of twistor structures [30]. In particular solutions exist for holomorphically flat connections on the fibre, that correspond to our ansatz, since the holonomy on the fibre is in a representation of the fundamental group of RP^2, because its square is one, and thus it is flat.

Even if the fibre becomes non-commutative because of the background B-field along the fibre, the vortex equation is satisfied on the base. Indeed then λ becomes a hermitean operator. The flatness condition still implies the three SD equations provided $(1, \lambda, \lambda^{-1})$ are linearly independent as operators. The equation for the hermitean part of the CS curvature is unchanged. In the next section we study these equations at classical and quantum level as well.

4 The A-model beta function via its Chern-Simons effective action

The beta function of our twistorial A-model arises as follows. The quantization of the standard CS theory, without the condensate of Wilson loops, is described by the functional integral [31]:

$$Z = \int \exp(\frac{1}{g_s} Tr \int \tilde{A} \partial_\lambda \tilde{A} d\lambda) \delta(\frac{1}{g_s}[D_{u_1}, D_{u_2}]) D\tilde{A} \tag{67}$$

where the connection one-form $\tilde{A}$, on the double cover of the base of the Lagrangian submanifold, is given by:

$$\tilde{A} = (A_w + \frac{1}{\lambda} D_{\bar{u}}) dw + (A_{\bar{w}} - \lambda D_u) d\bar{w} \tag{68}$$

We call the exponent in Eq.(67) the kinetic term, because it is the only term that contains derivatives along the fibre. The delta-functional constraint imposes the flatness condition to the gauge connection tangent to the base. We will see that, after integrating the constraint in the delta-functional and after the inclusion of the condensate of Wilson loops, the kinetic term defines a quantum mechanical theory on the moduli space of the vortex equation. We expect that such quantum mechanical system is ultraviolet finite, being a one-dimensional quantum field theory. Thus the only possible source of divergences comes from the functional determinant that arises by integrating the constraint in the delta-functional. This is the situation in which the YM beta function occurs, since, although the theory looks two-dimensional on the base, it is in fact four-dimensional, because of the EK reduction. The asymmetry between the base and the fibre is created by the presence of the Wilson loop condensate, that we choose along a non-contractible loop on the fibre, in order to reproduce the correct vortex equation.

The presence of the condensate modifies the flatness constraint in a way that we can understand as follows. The functional integral in presence of a condensate is given by:

$$Z = \int \exp(\frac{1}{g_s} Tr \int \tilde{A} \partial_\lambda \tilde{A} d\lambda)$$

$$\exp(\int \frac{1}{g_s} Tr(A_\lambda [D_{u_1}, D_{u_2}]) dw \wedge d\bar{w} \wedge d\lambda - e^{-a(\gamma_p)} Tr(P \exp(i \int_{\gamma_p} A_\lambda))) DA \tag{69}$$

If the chosen background loop, γ_p, extends in the λ direction only and is based on the point w_p of the base, the classical equations of motion of the CS effective action, as shown in the previous section, are:

$$\begin{aligned} F^a_{\lambda w} &= 0 \\ F^a_{\lambda \bar{w}} &= 0 \\ \frac{1}{g_s} F^a_{w\bar{w}}(\tilde{A}) - i\delta^{(2)}(w - w_p) e^{-a(\gamma_p)} Tr(PT^a \exp i \int_{\gamma_p} A_\lambda d\lambda) &= 0 \end{aligned} \qquad (70)$$

where T^a are the generators of $U(N)$ in the fundamental representation. Once our choice of the background Wilson loop is made, the world-sheet instantons that dominate the world-sheet theory are constant on the base and wrap once along the fibre. Notice that the presence of the condensate introduces a $\delta^{(2)}(w - w_p)$ singularity in the curvature of the CS connection on the base. This $\delta^{(2)}(w - w_p)$ singularity arises integrating, along the fibre on which the background Wilson loop lies, the $\delta^{(3)}$ singularity due to the functional differentiation in three dimensions. In addition the presence of a condensate of Wilson lines with non-trivial holonomy along the fibre implies a twist in the dependence of the fields of the base on the fibre coordinate. The dependence on the fibre in the coefficient of $\delta^{(2)}(w - w_p)$ is through the holonomy of the connection A_λ. This holonomy dependence determines the vortex moduli space and leads to the mentioned one-dimensional quantum mechanics on the fibre, due to the kinetic term.

We now show that in the large-N limit the condensate equation does not get quantum corrections to the leading order, under certain assumptions. The standard Makeenko-Migdal loop equation [18, 19] applied to the CS theory with the condensate reads schematically:

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau((\frac{1}{g_s}[D_{u_1}, D_{u_2}](w_p) - i\delta^{(2)}(w - w_p) e^{-a(\gamma_p)} \Psi(\gamma_p; A))\Psi(\gamma_p; A)) \\ &\qquad = i\delta^2(w - w_p)\tau(\Psi(\gamma_p; A))\tau(\Psi(\gamma_p; A)) \end{aligned} \qquad (71)$$

where τ is a generalized trace, that is the combination of the v.e.v. with the normalized color trace and $\Psi(\gamma_p; A) = P\exp(i\int_{\gamma_p} A_\lambda)$ is the holonomy of the Wilson loop. The term that contains the double loop in the left-hand side is due to the condensate. The term on the right hand side is the usual interacting MM term.

If the background of Wilson loops is non-trivial, i.e. $\tau(\Psi(\gamma_p; A)) = 0$, the interaction term vanishes and thus the classical equation is not renormalized in the large-N limit. This is precisely the situation that is needed to reproduce the YM beta function. The YM beta function is reproduced by a background of Wilson loops on the fibre that implies a Z_2 vortex in space-time and thus satisfies the non-trivial condition $\tau(\Psi(\gamma_p; A)) = 0$. Indeed the equation for the hermitean part of the CS curvature on the double covering of the base of the Lagrangian submanifold reads:

$$-\frac{1}{g_s}([D_w, D_{\bar{w}}] + [D_u, D_{\bar{u}}])(w_p) = -\frac{i}{g_s}B + ie^{-a(\gamma_p)}\Psi(\gamma_p; A)\delta^{(2)}(w - w_p) \quad (72)$$

The holonomy along the fibre, $\Psi(\gamma_p; A)$, leads to the Z_2 vortex provided $g_s e^{-a(\gamma_p)}\Psi(\gamma_p; A) = g\lambda_p g^{-1}$, for some g unitary, is the curvature of the gauge connection of a Z_2 vortex. The only obvious solution is $g_s e^{-a(\gamma_p)} = \pi$ and the eigenvalues of $\Psi(\gamma_p; A)$ equal to $(1, -1)$ in equal number. Thus $\tau(\Psi(\gamma_p; A)) = 0$.

Now we compute the beta function by saturating the MM loop equation. By saturating the MM equation we mean writing a functional integral that satisfies the same quantum equation of motion, i.e. the same MM loop equation, to the leading $\frac{1}{N}$ order. Hence this saturating path integral contains a delta-functional involving the equation of motion of one vortex and its antipodal image, since we are on the double covering:

$$Z = \int \prod_{\lambda} \delta(F_{w\bar{w}}(\tilde{A}) - i\delta^{(2)}(w - w_p)g\lambda_p g^{-1} - ig\lambda_p g^{-1}\delta^{(2)}(w - \bar{w}_p)) \\ D\tilde{A}_w(\lambda) \wedge D\tilde{A}_{\bar{w}}(\lambda) \quad (73)$$

with λ_p the curvature of the YM connection of a Z_2 vortex at p, that means that λ_p has eigenvalues equal to $(\pi, -\pi)$ in equal number.

This functional integral is apparently two-dimensional, but since it is repeated on the fibre, it reproduces the integral over the four-dimensional gauge connections with the same degeneracy by which the SD constraint is repeated. Hence it reproduces the four-dimensional information. To see this, we recast it in the following form:

$$\int DA_w DA_{\bar{w}} DA_u DA_{\bar{u}}$$

$$\delta([D_w, D_{\bar{w}}] + [D_u, D_{\bar{u}}] - ig\lambda_p g^{-1}\delta^{(2)}(w - w_p) - ig\lambda_p g^{-1}\delta^{(2)}(w - \bar{w}_p) - iB1)$$
$$\delta([D_w, D_u])\delta([D_{\bar{w}}, D_{\bar{u}}]) \quad (74)$$

repeated with an infinite multiplicity N_1, along the fibre. In the decompactification limit we can identify the base of the Lagrangian twistorial fibration with space-time of YM theory in the thermodynamic limit. Thus Eq.(74) becomes:

$$\int \delta(F^+_{\alpha\beta} - \mu^+_{\alpha\beta})DA$$
$$= \int d(zero - modes)Det'^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-\Delta_A\delta_{\alpha\beta} + D_\alpha D_\beta + iad_{F^+_{\alpha\beta}})\Delta_{FP} \quad (75)$$

for

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^+_{01} &= g\lambda_p g^{-1}\delta^{(2)}(w - w_p) + g\lambda_p g^{-1}\delta^{(2)}(w - \bar{w}_p) + B1 \\ \mu^+_{23} &= g\lambda_p g^{-1}\delta^{(2)}(w - w_p) + g\lambda_p g^{-1}\delta^{(2)}(w - \bar{w}_p) + B1 \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

and all other components of $\mu^+_{\alpha\beta}$ vanishing. This functional integral, in the EK reduced version, was already computed in sect.(2) and in [2] but for the ASD variables instead of the SD ones. Of course this does not change the divergences. We can compare the result for the effective action of vortices of the YM theory computed in sect.3 with the CS functional integral of Eq.(73,74,75). The exponential of minus the CS effective action is:

$$\exp(N_1 k_p(N - k_p)8\pi^2\beta_0 \log(\frac{\Lambda^2_{CS}}{B_{CS}})) \quad (77)$$

with $k_p = \frac{N}{2}$ since in the CS theory we get only a Z_2 vortex with multiplicity N_1. The factor of N_1 is due to the multiplicity of the fibre delta-functional constraint in the CS functional integral. In [2] it was shown that the YM Wilsonean beta function is one-loop exact at large N using the homological localization of the loop equation. The CS beta function shares the same feature. Thus we have the identification $\frac{\Lambda^2_{CS}}{B_C S} = \frac{1}{N_D B e^{\frac{1}{\beta_0 g^2_W}} a^2}$ that is our result.

5 Conclusions

We have shown that there exists an A-model on the twistor space of CP^2 with a non-integrable complex structure that has vanishing first Chern class. Thus it defines a topological string theory. In addition its Chern-Simons effective action at large N, on a certain Lagrangian submanifold and for a certain background Wilson loop and B-field, has the same Wilsonean beta function as the large-N Yang-Mills theory. This is due to the identification of the twistor Chern-Simons loop equation in the given background with the non-commutative vortex equation of self-dual type of the Yang-Mills theory reduced a la Eguchi-Kawai.

Conjecturally, following the analogy with the topological B-model of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ YM theory, this topological A-model holds promise to describe the glueball dynamics of a certain quasi BPS sector of the pure YM theory.

6 Acknowledgments

We thank Andrew Neitzke and Cumrun Vafa for several discussions about the A-model and the YM-loop equation and Cumrun Vafa for inviting us to complete this work at Harvard University.

We thank Arthur Jaffe for inviting us to talk at the seminar of his group about the localization of the YM loop equation and the A-model.

We thank Denis Auroux and Tomasz Mrowka at MIT for explaining to us some features of the twistor fibration.

We thank Roberto Martinez for several stimulating conversations about the A-model and the YM loop equation.

References

[1] A. Neitzke, C. Vafa *"N=2 strings and the twistorial Calabi-Yau"*, [hep-th/0402128].

[2] M. Bochicchio *"Quasi BPS Wilson loops, localization of loop equation by homology and exact beta function in the large-N limit of $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills theory"*, [hep-th/0809.4662], to appear in JHEP.

[3] M. Bochicchio, JHEP 0709 (2007) 033, [hep-th/0705.0082].

[4] N. Hitchin, *Proc. Lon. Math. Soc.* **(3) 43** (1981) 133.

[5] F. Xu , *"$SU(3)$-structures and special lagrangian geometries"*, [math.DG/0610532].

[6] G. Deschamps, *"Compatible Complex Structures on Twistor Spaces"*, [math.DG/0810.1135].

[7] A. Tomasiello, *Phys. Rev.* **D 78** (2007) 0460007, [hep-th/0712.1396].

[8] E. Witten, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **189** (2004) 252, [hep-th/0312171].

[9] R. Dijgraaf, S. Gukov, A. Neitzke, C. Vafa, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **9** (2005) 603, [hep-th/0411073].

[10] T. Eguchi, H. Kawai, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982) 1063.

[11] G. Bhanot, U. Heller, H. Neuberger, *Phys. Lett.* **B 113** (1982) 47.

[12] A. Gonzales-Arroyo, C. P. Korthals-Altes, *Phys. Lett.* **B 131** (1983) 396.

[13] M. R. Douglas, N. A. Nekrasov, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73** (2001) 977, [hep-th/0106048].

[14] Y. Makeenko, *"The First Thirty Years of Large-N Gauge Theory"*, [hep-th/0407028].

[15] N. Seiberg, E. Witten, JHEP 9909 (1999) 032, [hep-th/9908142].

[16] E. Witten, *Progr. Math.* **133** (1995) 637, [hep-th/9207094].

[17] V. Pestun, *"Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops"*, [hep-th/0712.2824].

[18] Yu. M. Makeenko, A. A. Migdal, *Phys. Lett.* **B 88** (1979) 135.

[19] Yu. M. Makeenko, A. A. Migdal, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 188** (1981) 269.

[20] R, Dijkgraaf, C. Vafa, *"A Perturbative Window into Non-Perturbative Physics"*, [hep-th/0208048].

[21] F. Cachazo, M. R. Douglas, N. Seiberg, E. Witten, JHEP 0212 (2002) 071, [hep-th/0211170].

[22] K. Takesaki, *J. Geom. Phys* **37** (2001) 291.

[23] H. Kawai, T. Kuroki, T. Morita, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 664** (2003) 185, [hep-th/0303210].

[24] S. Gukov, E. Witten *"Gauge Theory, Ramification, And The Geometric Langlands Program"*, [hep-th/0612073].

[25] E. Witten, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **118** (1988) 411.

[26] V. Stojevic, *"Topological A-Type Models with Flux"*, [hep-th/0801.1160].

[27] M. Marino, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **77** (2005) 675, [hep-th/0406005].

[28] S. J. Gates, C. M. Hill., M. Rocek, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 248** (1984).

[29] R. Zucchini, JHEP 0612 (2006) 039, [hep-th/0608145].

[30] T. Mochizuchi, *"Asymptotic behaviour of variation of pure polarized TERP structures"*, [math.DG/0811.1384].

[31] S. Elitzur, G. Moore, A. Schwimmer, N. Seiberg, **Nucl. Phys. B 326** (1989) 108.